

1 Thomas M. Biesty
2 NY Bar No. 4172896; (202) 326-3043; tbiesty@ftc.gov
3 Laura C. Basford
4 DC Bar No. 993645; (202) 326-2343; lbasford@ftc.gov
5 J. Ronald Brooke, Jr.
6 MD Bar No. 0202280002; (202) 326-3484; jbrooke@ftc.gov
7 Joshua A. Doan
8 DC Bar No. 490879; (202) 326-3187; jdoan@ftc.gov
9 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, CC-6316
10 Washington, DC 20580
11 Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission

12 Aaron D. Ford, Attorney General
13 Ernest D. Figueroa, Consumer Advocate
14 Lucas J. Tucker
15 NV Bar No. 10252; (702) 486-3256; ltucker@ag.nv.gov
16 Samantha B. Feeley
17 NV Bar No. 14034; (702) 486-3789; sfeeley@ag.nv.gov
18 State of Nevada, Office of the Attorney General
19 Bureau of Consumer Protection
20 8945 W. Russell Road, #204
21 Las Vegas, NV 89148
22 Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Nevada

23 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
24 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

25 **FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, and**

26 **STATE OF NEVADA,**

27 Plaintiffs,

28 v.

INTERNATIONAL MARKETS LIVE,
INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:25-cv-00760-CDS-NJK

**JOINT STATUS REPORT OF THE
PARTIES CONCERNING THE
RECOMMENDATIONS TO
MODIFY CERTAIN TIMELINES
IN THE PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION ORDER ENTERED
ON AUGUST 12, 2025. (ECF NO.
104)**

Pursuant to the Court’s oral instruction during its August 7, 2025 hearing, Plaintiffs Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) and the State of Nevada, and the IML Defendants,¹ through counsel, respectfully propose modifications to the Court’s August 12, 2025 Preliminary Injunction Order (ECF No. 104) (“PI”).

Background

At the conclusion of the August 7, 2025 hearing on Plaintiffs’ Motion for a Preliminary Injunction, the Court noted that it intended to enter the proposed Preliminary Injunction Order and instructed the parties to (1) meet and confer on timelines in the proposed Preliminary Injunction Order related to provision of information to the Court-appointed Monitor and Expedited Discovery; and (2) provide the Court with their recommendations to modify those timelines by August 14, 2025. On August 12, 2025, the Court entered the PI.

Counsel for Plaintiffs and the IML Defendants met and conferred virtually on August 12, 2025; and counsel for Plaintiffs and the IML Defendants and the Court-appointed Monitor met and conferred virtually on August 13, 2025. Below are the Plaintiffs’ and the IML Defendants’ proposed modifications to certain timelines in the Preliminary Injunction Order (ECF No. 104).²

Proposed Modifications

Section of the PI	Proposed Modifications
Section VIII Financial Disclosures Page 15, Ln. 12.	<i>Change “within five (5) days” to “within eight (8) days.”</i> ³

¹ International Markets Live, Inc., also d/b/a IYOVIA, iMarketsLive, IM Mastery Academy, and IM Academy; IM Mastery Academy Ltd., f/k/a International Markets Live Ltd.; Assiduous, Inc.; Christopher Terry, a/k/a Chris Terry; and Isis Terry, f/k/a Isis De La Torre.

² Plaintiffs will supply Chambers with a copy of the Preliminary Injunction Order containing the recommended modifications and a redline of the same.

³ References to “days” as proposed herein mean “calendar” days.

Section IX Foreign Asset Disclosures Page 15, Ln. 21	<i>Change</i> “within five days” to “within eight days.”
Section XVI Provision of Information to Monitor Section XVI.D Page 22, Ln. 4.	<i>Change</i> “twelve (12) hours of the Monitor’s request,” to “twenty-four (24) hours of the Monitor’s request.”
Section XXII Expedited Discovery	
Section XXII.A Page 26, Ln. 24.	<i>Change</i> “Forty-eight (48) hours’ notice shall be sufficient notice for such depositions,” to “Five days’ notice shall be sufficient, with no weekend or holiday depositions except to accommodate limited availability of the witness, ...”
Section XXII.B Page 27 Ln. 6-7	<i>Change</i> “within five (5) days of service” to “within eight (8) days of service.”
Section XXII.B Page 27 Ln. 7-8	<i>Delete</i> “provided, however, that three (3) days of notice shall be deemed sufficient for the production of any such Documents that are maintained or stored only in an electronic format.”
Section XXII.C Page 27 Ln. 9-10	<i>Replace</i> “Plaintiffs and the Monitor may serve upon parties interrogatories that require response within five (5) days after Plaintiffs serve such interrogatories” with “Plaintiffs and the Monitor may serve upon parties up to five Interrogatories (total) in a five-day period that require response within five days after Plaintiffs or the Monitor serve such interrogatories.”
Section XXII.D Page 27 Ln. 12	<i>Change</i> “within five (5) days of service” to “within eight (8) days of service.”

Section XXII.G Page 27 Ln. 19-20 ⁴	<i>Change “and the Local Rules of this Court,” to “but shall comply with the Local Rules of this Court.”</i>
Section XXII.G Page 27 Ln. 20	<p><i>Replace second sentence in Paragraph G with either (competing language bolded):</i></p> <p><i>Plaintiffs’ Proposal:</i> “However, any Defendant or subpoena recipient who does not intend to comply fully with any expedited discovery requested by Plaintiffs or the Monitor shall engage in a meet and confer with whoever served the discovery request no less than 3 days prior to the response date of the discovery in question.”</p> <p><i>IML Defendants’ Proposal:</i> “However, any Defendant or subpoena recipient with objections to any expedited discovery requested by Plaintiffs or the Monitor shall engage in a meet and confer with whoever served the discovery request on or before the response deadline.”</p>

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs and the IML Defendants respectfully request that the Court make the proposed modifications to the Court’s August 12, 2025 Preliminary Injunction Order.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 14, 2025

By

AARON D. FORD
Attorney General

⁴ While the Court did not specifically direct the Plaintiffs and the IML Defendants to meet and confer on this issue, the Court did note that it intended to follow the local rules as to discovery meet and confers. Thus, the Plaintiffs and the IML Defendants discussed “meet and confer” obligations, but came to an impasse as to the bolded language.

1 ERNEST D. FIGUEORA
2 Consumer Advocate

3 /s/ Lucas J. Tucker

4 LUCAS J. TUCKER (NV Bar No. 010252)
5 Senior Deputy Attorney General
6 SAMANTHA B. FEELEY (NV Bar No. 14034)
7 State of Nevada, Office of the Attorney General
8 Bureau of Consumer Protection
9 8945 W. Russell Road, Suite 204
10 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
11 702-486-3256 ph (L. Tucker); 702-486-3789 ph
12 (S. Feeley)
13 E-mail: ltucker@ag.nv.gov; E-mail:
14 sfeeley@ag.nv.gov

15 Attorneys for Plaintiff, State of Nevada

16 HOLLAND & HART LLP

17 /s/ Lars K. Evensen

18 Lars K. Evensen
19 Jenapher Lin
20 9555 Hillwood Drive, 2nd Floor
21 Las Vegas, NV 89134

22 P. Sterling Kerr
23 KERR SIMPSON ATTORNEYS AT LAW
24 2900 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway, Suite 200
25 Henderson, NV 89052

26 *Attorneys for Defendants International Markets*
27 *Live Inc., IM Mastery Academy Ltd., Assiduous,*
28 *Inc., Christopher Terry, Isis Terry*

/s/ J. Ronald Brooke, Jr

THOMAS M. BIESTY
LAURA C. BASFORD
J. RONALD BROOKE, JR.
JOSHUA A. DOAN
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, CC-6316
Washington, D.C. 20580
(202) 326-3043 (Biesty)
(202) 326-2343 (BASFORD)
(202) 326-3484 (Brooke)
(202) 326-3187 (Doan)
Email: tbiesty@ftc.gov; lbASFORD@ftc.gov;
jbrooke@ftc.gov; jdoan@ftc.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION